Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,062	BADER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Marjorie Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ivor Elrifi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 April 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Ma Marcard		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a phone mail message, he examine asked whether any response had been filed to the office action of 9/15/06. The examiner stated that if no return call were received by 4/26/07, she would assume no response had been filed and would abandon the application. No response has been received from the attorney.